

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patem and Trademark Office Address: COMMINSSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bdv 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/645,483	08/22/2003	Yasuo Sato	1021.43058X00	8730	
24956	24956 7590 11/23/2005 .			EXAMINER	
MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C. 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD			GANDHI, JAYPRAKASH N		
SUITE 370	TAL KOAD	W .		PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRI	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				
			DATE MAILED: 11/23/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/645,483	SATO ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jayprakash N. Gandhi	2125		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1			
Period fo	or Reply				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Au	<u>ugust 2003</u> .			
· <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 August 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Carelacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ <i>a</i>)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment	t(s)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary			
3) 🔯 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 8/22/03 & 10/11/05.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/645,483 Page 2

Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 is indefinite because line 2, "power facility *that can be linked* to an electrical ---", the patentability cannot depend on a contingency (when), what happens when not linked.

In claim 2, the preamble is functional "controller **for** controlling electrical power equipment---", while in claims 3 and 4, refer to **the** electrical equipment. Such inconsistent reference to the same part obscure the intended scope of the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,483 Page 3

Art Unit: 2125

4. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nierlich et al. (US 2003/0158632 A1).

5. Regarding claims 1-11, Nierlich discloses all the structure limitation of a coordination controller 4 (figure 1), wherein the controller includes, a communication with outside, monitoring current time, synchronizing time, a control schedule (connection thru 12). It is to be noted that "electrical power-consuming apparatus", "electrical power generating apparatus", or "electrical power facility" is not positively claimed elements; therefore the controller 4 of Nierlich, meets the functional claimed invention. A recitation of the intended use (*for*) of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Detail Description Paragraph - DETX (18):

[0049] Preferably, the E1-2000 synchronizes its internal clock with the system clock of the management device 10 after it validates its Application Software. Synchronization allows the management device 10 to track load profiles and curtailment events in real time. The E1-2000 4 then compares the date and time ("timestamp") of its Configuration File with the configuration timestamp stored in management device memory. If the Configuration File attributes differ from the stored attributes, the E1-2000 4 downloads an updated Configuration File that preferably includes the E1-2000 callback frequency, its meter designations, its pulse accumulator device identity, its meter multiplier coefficients, its meter polling frequency, and its Watermark boundaries assigned to each meter the E1-2000 4 supports. All expired data in memory is then flushed before logging off of the management device 10 and disconnecting from the ISP 12.

Detail Description Paragraph - DETX (19):

[0050] Once the E1-2000 4 is initialized, preferably the E1-2000 4 connects to the ISP 12 in response to a number of events. The E1-2000 connects to the ISP 12 at its scheduled callback intervals, when a Watermark violation occurs, or when initiated by a ring instruction. The scheduled callback intervals establish a standard schedule of connections between the E1-2000 4 and the management device 10. In this event, the E1-2000 4 automatically connects to the management device 10 at programmed intervals at which the E1-2000 4 uploads all of its meter and operating data to the management device.

Art Unit: 2125

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Enga et al., Ellis, Jeong et al., and Patterson disclose related art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jayprakash N. Gandhi whose telephone number is 571-272-3740. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-5:00 (Mon. - Thu.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo P. Picard can be reached on 571-272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jayprakash N Gandhi Primary Examiner Art Unit 2125

jng